

MSG

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

KING DRUG COMPANY OF FLORENCE, INC.,
et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

CEPHALON, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION

No. 2:06-cv-1797 ✓

VISTA HEALTHPLAN, INC., et al.,
Plaintiffs,

v.

CEPHALON, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION

No. 2:06-cv-1833

APOTEX, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

CEPHALON, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

FILED

JUN 08 2015

MICHAEL E. KUNZ, Clerk
By  Dep. Clerk

CIVIL ACTION

No. 2:06-cv-2768

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION,
Plaintiff,

v.

CEPHALON, INC.,

Defendant.

CIVIL ACTION

No. 2:08-cv-2141

ORDER

AND NOW, this day of June, 2015, upon consideration of a telephone call from counsel for non-party Aetna, Inc. seeking clarification as to whether my June 1, 2015 Order¹ would permit non-parties to submit letters regarding the Federal Trade Commission v. Cephalon settlement, it is hereby **ORDERED** that Aetna's counsel is directed to renew its request, if necessary, after reviewing the submissions filed by the existing parties to the above-listed cases.

BY THE COURT:



MITCHELL S. GOLDBERG, J.

ENTERED
JUN - 8 2015
CLERK OF COURT

¹ The Order states "any other party may file a letter brief not to exceed three pages raising any concerns as to the potential impact the settlement agreement [reached by the Federal Trade Commission and Cephalon] may have on their interests." (Doc. No. 397).